

From: [Rob and Gitta Adams](#)
To: [Community Support and Services Committee](#)
Subject: SUBMISSION TO THE QUEENSLAND PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE INQUIRY INTO EXTENDING QUEENSLAND'S STATE OF EMERGENCY'
Date: Friday, 4 March 2022 11:52:14 AM

‘SUBMISSION TO THE QUEENSLAND PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEE INQUIRY
INTO EXTENDING QUEENSLAND’S STATE OF EMERGENCY’

Under the Public Health and Other Legislation (Extension of Expiring Provisions) Amendment Bill 2022, Queensland’s state of emergency’ laws will be extended from 30th April 2022 to 31 October 2022.

MY NAME: Gitta Adams

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

MY POSITION ON THE MATTER: I am against the extension of the ‘State of Emergency in Queensland.’

REASONS FOR MY POSITION ON THE MATTER:

1. THIS STATE OF EMERGENCY IMPACTS THE ABILITY TO WORK:

- I am qualified and high regarded learning support and part-time classroom teacher unable to work due to my medical choice of not taking a medical intervention that does not stop me from catching Covid-19. Mandates must be lifted, as vaccinations (and any other medical procedure) are an individual’s private choice and employees should not be forced to be excluded from or discriminated in a workplace for such choices.
- My school is unable to offer learning support this year due being unable to obtain qualified and experienced specialist to fill my and another teacher’s role. Workplace mandates have created skill shortage in government and private sectors within our state and thus greatly impacting the state’s economic recovery.
- The continuation of restrictions and mandates places continued pressure on our already exhausted police force, mental health and support services. We need to learn to life with such things as co-vid and mandates and restrictions must be lifted in all workplaces.

2. THE QUEENSLAND GOVERNMENT SHOULD MAKE DECISIONS FOR THE HEALTH OF THE CITIZENS AND NOT TO SERVE THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY:

- The Queensland Government is elected and employed by the people to serve and represent them. They are not the employees or business partners of pharmaceutical companies to buy and promote their products.
- The government role is not to threaten and punish citizens with job and freedom loss for taking not taking promoted drugs that are ineffective in stopping transmission.

3. END THE STATE OF EMERGENCY:

- There are no supportive reasons to continue the STATE OF EMERGENCY. If anything, to continue will greatly decrease its future effectiveness as a large percentage of citizens no longer respect the decisions and measures within and hold little respect for the decision makers.
- The Co-vid 19 pandemic is over. The recent release of data from the Bureau of Statistics has proven that the actual direct death from Co-vid 19 was very low, much lower than promoted by the government in the media. Again, causing distrust in the government.

- We need to invest our time on early treatment and healthy life-style options and move away from the band-aide approach, and reliance and support of pharmaceutical company investors.
- There is rising concerns with the conflict of interest that many decisions makers in the state and federal government have had during the co-vid pandemic with their own and family members investments and positions (past and present) held on company boards.

4. THE STATE OF EMERGENCY CONFLICTS AGAINST OUR HUMAN RIGHTS

- The continuation of The STATE OF EMERGENCY will have great legal implication to Queensland Government and councils.
- Its conflict with numerous laws, regulations and policies including: The Commonwealth Constitution, The Biosecurity Act 2015, The UNESCO Statement on Bioethics and Human Rights, The Criminal Code.
- There are numerous lawyers, barristers and judges speaking up globally against current health measures and court cases are rising and proceeding against these measures.

It is time to stop the STATE OF EMERGENCY.

Kind Regards,

Gitta Adams

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows