

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Support and Services Committee](#)
Cc: waw@mail.com
Subject: Submission: PUBLIC HEALTH AND OTHER LEGISLATION (EXTENSION OF EXPIRING PROVISIONS) AMENDMENT BILL 2022
Date: Friday, 4 March 2022 10:35:22 AM

Committee Secretary
Community Support and Services Committee
Parliament House
George Street
Brisbane Qld 4000

Good morning,

I wish to have a say about the above proposed amendment.

The behaviour of our government representatives and bureaucrats during this alleged pandemic has been damaging and life-altering to ordinary citizens and small business owners.

The two weeks to flatten the curve has turned into two years of restriction to movement and assembly.

It has potentially permanently damaged my ability to provide for my family due to mandates that are, daily, being shown by real science to be ineffective and/or dangerous to our health. We have been prevented from hearing the best health advice from our GPs and other medical professionals.

Masks have been shown to be of no benefit in reducing transmission from asymptomatic persons, and they are dangerous to the health of the wearer unless the benefit outweighs the risk (eg. immunocompromised persons).

Restriction to outdoor activity has no basis in science, and has a huge negative impact on a person's mental health and wellbeing, but it has been enforced throughout the last two years. Refusing to allow uninjected persons into venues, purely out of spite, shows the maturity level of the decision makers.

When a person can sit in a food court in close proximity to others, shop in a supermarket, buy alcohol, go to the chemist and line up with dozens of others without being injected, it proves it is not a science-based/health-based decision.

When an uninjected person can go to restaurants while the government is waiting for the state to reach 80% status, but after reaching 80% and on an apparently random date, suddenly the uninjected are no longer safe to sit in a restaurant with the people they have just been grocery shopping with.

Jobs have been terminated, families have been broken, lives have been lost, people are losing their homes in government sanctioned actions.

These are not the people to whom I want more power to be given.

I respectfully request that the "state of emergency" be ceased, and that no increase to government power be approved.

I would prefer for all of the power to invoke a state of emergency to be given to an independent body, with the ability for their actions to be fully scrutinised and transparent.

Any provision to take away a person's God-given right to bodily autonomy and informed consent, the protected relationship between a doctor and a patient, and the right to refuse consent for experimental treatments must be blocked if we are to continue to live in a civilised society.

Thank you for considering my submission.

Kind regards,

WENDY WHITTON

On behalf of myself and my family

[REDACTED]