

4 March 2022
Committee Secretary
Community Support and Services Committee
Email: cssc@parliament.qld.gov.au

Dear Committee Secretary,

RE: PUBLIC HEALTH AND OTHER LEGISLATION (EXTENSION OF EXPIRING PROVISIONS)
AMENDMENT BILL 2022 (BILL)

I wish to formally advise that I do not support the Bill nor the extension of the state of emergency. Queensland was the first state to declare a state of emergency (SOE) on 29 January 2020. This means that if the SOE laws are extended from 30 April 2022 to 31 October 2022, Queenslanders will have been under a SOE for nearly three years.

EXAGGERATED DEATHS

The death tolls have been grossly over reported, with the TGA and CDC admitting that only 8.6% and 6%, respectively, of COVID-19 deaths could be solely attributed to the Virus.

This colossal over prediction and over reporting of deaths has sent the world into a state of fear and panic, a state that governments have chosen to perpetuate, preferring to keep people fearful and isolated, instead of revealing pertinent truths such as the following:

- The predicted and reported severity of the Disease was/has been grossly overestimated.
- Healthy people are not at high risk of complications from SARS-COV-2 (Virus) if they do catch it.
- You can naturally boost your immune system to lessen symptoms if you do come into contact with the Virus (coincidentally the only thing that the so-called vaccines claim to do).
- Healthy people are not spreaders of disease.
- If you catch the virus there are safe and effective treatment protocols to aid in recovery (which have been used by doctors since March 2020) which do not involve vaccination.
- Natural infection gives longer-lasting and durable protection against reinfection.

With this information, there is absolutely no justification for a state of emergency, particularly when we have evidence of how the state of emergency will be used to terrorise, discriminate against, and destroy the lives and livelihoods of innocent Queenslanders. The state of emergency at this point is less about managing a crisis, and more about retaining the powers and control gained over Queenslanders since the start of the pandemic.

Every death, whether COVID related or not, is tragic; however, the latest Australian Bureau of Statistics information released last week puts it in perspective. Over the 22 months of COVID, 273 901 Australians died; however, of these deaths only 2639 people were COVID related with 92 percent of these have three serious underlying conditions. Some 100 000 people died of cancer; 32 000 died of heart disease; 30 000 died of Alzheimer's and dementia; 10 000 died of diabetes. COVID related deaths represent fewer than one percent of the total deaths in Australia over the past 22 months. The median age of COVID related deaths was 81 years for men and 86 years for women. Both are above our national average lifespan. Far more people died from the flu than COVID. We had 2 639 COVID deaths over 22 months. However, over a period of 12 months in 2019, 4 124 people died from the flu.

Unvaccinated people have been exiled from society and their movements restricted, yet COVID has rapidly spread throughout the state. Who is spreading the virus? It is very clear that the spread of the virus does not discriminate between those who are vaccinated and those who are not.

DEMONISING & SILENCING SAFE AND EFFECTIVE TREATMENTS

Doctors who were successfully treating high-risk COVID-19 patients, with safe and effective treatment protocols have been silenced. One such doctor is Australian doctor, Professor Thomas Borody, who sought to inform the government of the effectiveness of Ivermectin in treating COVID-19 patients, but was quickly silenced. The censorship did not stop there, of course. We have seen reputable doctors and academics at the tops of their fields suspended from public platforms for offering viable alternatives to the touted management of the pandemic. The lives lost as a result of the suppression of these alternative remedies and the silencing of important voices is yet to

be fully understood. This censorship helped strip the people of hope and cemented in the minds of many the false and damaging notion that vaccines were the only way out.

VACCINES HAVE PUT PEOPLE IN HARM'S WAY

During its initial rollout in late 2020, we were told that mass vaccination (first of one dose, then two) of 70%, then 90% of the population, would be the only way to return to life as normal. But here we are over a year later, and despite governments forcing, coercing, and bullying citizens to achieve their desired rates, we are told that a double dose is now insufficient and that all must submit to a 3rd dose. In some industries, already fully vaccinated individuals face economic and social sanctions if they choose to abstain from a third dose. If the implementation of the vaccine mandates this past year is any guide, this mandate of a 3rd dose is likely to be imposed across all sectors and areas of society in the coming months. It is important to note that these vaccines are experimental and only have emergency use authorisation. There are no clearly defined benefits for a vast portion of the population, nor do we have any data for the long-term risks of these experimental therapies that are only being used in humans because of the state of emergency.

Concerningly, data is emerging from countries around the world showing negative efficacy of the COVID vaccines (meaning those vaccinated are more likely to fall ill with the Virus):

- Scotland - in a report (page 38) prepared by Public Health Scotland the double vaccinated were twice as likely to become infected with COVID and the triple vaccinated were also at an increased risk of infection (based on age-standardised case rate per 100,000).¹¹
- Denmark – a study of vaccine effectiveness against SARS-COV-2 infection showed negative efficacy for both the Pfizer and Moderna vaccines of -76% and -39% respectively at 90 to 150 days post vaccination.¹²
- Israel – the 4th booster dose has been found to be 13 ineffective against Omicron and infection, 14 15 hospitalisation and 16 death rates are the highest they have ever been despite high vaccination take up.

VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

The Human Rights Act 2019 binds the State, as confirmed in Section 5(1) of that Act.

Section 11(1) states that all individuals in Queensland have human rights.

And at Section 12 -

Human rights are in addition to other rights and freedoms. A right or freedom not included, or only partly included, in this Act that arises or is recognised under another law must not be taken to be abrogated or limited only because the right or freedom is not included in this Act or is only partly included.

Examples of another law—

- the Commonwealth Constitution
- a law of the Commonwealth
- common law
- rights under the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights not stated in this Act
- rights under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights not stated in this Act
- rights under other international conventions
- other international laws

It is noted that Article 7 of the ICCPR, which reads 'No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. In particular, no one shall be subjected without his free consent to medical or scientific experimentation' is addressed at Section 17 of the QLD Act.

I submit that the mandating of injections with experimental biological substances given provisional approval by the TGA for use as vaccines, is a blatant breach of Section 17 of the Qld Act and Article 7 of the ICCPR.

Section 13 of the Qld Act seeks to provide the Government with powers of limitation on the rights afforded Queenslanders under the Act.

The limitation powers at Section 13 are afforded some support by Article 4 (1) of the ICCPR as follows:

In time of public emergency which threatens the life of the nation and the existence of which is officially proclaimed, the States Parties to the present Covenant may take measures derogating from their obligations under the present

Covenant to the extent strictly required by the exigencies of the situation, provided that such measures are not inconsistent with their other obligations under international law and do not involve discrimination solely on the ground of race, colour, sex, language, religion or social origin.

However, Article 4(2) ICCPR states that 'No derogation from articles 6, 7, 8 (paragraphs 1 and 2), 11, 15, 16 and 18 may be made under this provision.'

I submit therefore that Article 7 'no medical or scientific experimentation without free and willing consent' is an inalienable human right entrenched in International Law, and therefore legally binding on the State of Queensland. Mandating vaccines for ANY person, whether for the workplace or otherwise constitutes coercion, which thereby negates any chance of meeting the legal standard of informed consent required under Australian Commonwealth Law.

REJECT THE BILL AND THE EXTENSION TO THE STATE OF EMERGENCY

The best predictor of future behaviour is past behaviour. It is very clear to our community that we have been lied to, bullied, and coerced, all in the name of "public health." This level of unmitigated coercion has been made possible by the continued extension of a "state of emergency." Although the community has been gaslit, traumatised, abused, and confused, we still have enough deductive capacity to know that a temporary state of emergency that is continually renewed is not in fact temporary. We are therefore aware that the suspension of our democracy, freedoms, and civil liberties is not temporary if the state of emergency continues to be extended.

Regards

Marissa East

