

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Support and Services Committee; Pinerivers Electorate Office](#)
Subject: Regarding the State of Emergency Response Bill due to be voted for its" merits soon.
Date: Thursday, 3 March 2022 11:00:36 PM

Dear Committee & Ms N. Boyd,

May I quickly request a small amount of your time and for which I have never interrupted anyone of your nature and profession before in the line of business you work within?

An ethical and practical decision that stands up to the scrutiny of scientifically medical norms is warranted regarding the proposed extension of the said Bill. The discrimination of those that either wish to be vaccinated and also the discrimination of those who wish to to not be vaccinated is unethical.

I have noticed that Ms Boyd has been a committee member for Ethics from 2018 to 2020. Obviously this means that observing demonstrated imbalance of power is not outside of Ms Boyd's scope, and to see: that in forcing people to do something against their will for the sake of their own health and not the health of those whom they share the same space with, is unethical and undemocratic.

With considerable thought into this subject matter and considerable review of published research, discussions with medical staff and people whom have tested positive over the past few years, I object to any Extension of the Emergency Bill due to the prevalence of Omicron's extremely low death rate compared to the delta/alpha variants.

The original Emergency Bill was granted based largely upon a death rate from the Alpha and Delta variants being approximately 1% without further separating data of such very important data such as:- age and vaccination status of the general populace, both the older, the young and those aged in between. Covid is for the most part and older person's disease and those with co-morbidities when it comes to death rate. This fact is indisputable.

The current case numbers have indicated that the original modelling was substantially over exuberant in their suggested outcomes. Good news.

The extension of powers is based on COVID deaths in Queensland and COVID numbers.

The health data is now showing there has been in the past an exaggeration and inconsistency of the data including the methodologies and testing technology which has recently changed to a

new technology after previously and for a very long time using PCR method for testing. Very unfortunate data errors or variables. As yet I have not seen any published research data and scientific journal articles originating from Queensland which is surprising, given the State of Emergency is in place and on going in terms of authority given to certain groups and organisations.

Current estimates indicate that Omicron deaths are only a very small fraction of those adjusted numbers.

Any extension of state of emergency powers is not warranted and should NOT be granted.

The potential for continued discrimination of the constituents of QLD, via these emergency powers is and always has been unacceptable to any democratic society. With the continued discrimination of minority people of whom do not wish to consent to vaccination unless being financially blackmailed continues - Which is also I point out, is not a valid consent for medical assistance. Apparently, working in the healthcare industry means we can now vaccinate people with one particular vaccine but not other vaccines, to people who do not give a valid consent? This is ludicrous. As an example, the general population is not being forced to be vaccinated for Measles, Mumps, Rubella, Pertussis (Whooping Cough), Tetanus. All deadly diseases, most of these examples are extremely contagious and easily communicated from one person to the next with significant risk to life and increased morbidity.

The continued discrimination of those whom are unvaccinated increases the negative affects that drives further discontent. It increases poverty induced decision-making choices for which further exacerbates the gap between those that "have" (money) and those that do not.

Money equals choice here, no money = no choice, due to the financial blackmail.

Vaccinate or do not hold your job is FORCED healthcare. It is absolutely, not consensual.

Could you imagine the same example if we were discussing Sexual Consent instead of Medical Consent. The notion of "You must have sex with whomever I choose for you, and it is for your own good, or you do not have a job here any more" - can you really see that as being ok?

This consent issue is sending mixed messages about what consent actually is to the young, that is for sure. ie: their thoughts "could/might" go along the lines of..."Sex is good in a relationship, everyone knows that. So therefore, because vaccinations are good for everyone, and the Government forced it and the Employers forced it, then when it comes to Sex, force is ok too. Because Sex is good in a relationship. It's good for both party's involved."

Unilateral decisions, autocratic, tyrannical styled relationships and bullying is, in one word, unethical.

The negatives of the mandates and the resultant pressure it is placing on Queenslanders through lost jobs and a pressured healthcare system, far outweigh the negatives going forward.

Particularly when it seems that many Queenslanders have had COVID-19, now have natural immunity and the vast majority have been vaccinated. According to the Government's own modelling and data, it shows that the peak has now passed and herd immunity can carry on the good work it always does with any disease past and present. Other very easily transmissible and deadly/morbidity causing diseases such as Measles clearly demonstrates the herd immunity model works and the hypothesis is seemingly working well without mandated forced healthcare.

Indeed, to counter the argument of vaccination V's unvaccinated, a member of my immediate family who is not vaccinated and whom will not choose vaccination shares this with me:-
Despite kissing their Covid positive spouse for a very extended time/s, failed to contract the virus over the two weeks of positive symptoms and a positive testing RAT regimen. Surely what is being shown here is an example in real life why and how the situation has been demonstrated that herd immunity is effective or that the virility is shown to be over-exaggerated.

I guess, because the mandates are draconian in their implementation because it removes democratic freedom of healthcare choice, my family member will soon be on unemployment benefits and increase the numbers of Queenslanders out of work, simply because of the false belief that the unvaccinated are like the dirty Jews like times gone by, who willingly spread deadly diseases to all the vaccinated people, who are supposed to be protected anyway, because that is the purpose of vaccination is it not?

If someone wishes to smoke cigarettes, we allow them. If someone wishes to avoid an injectable medication, then we should provide these injection refusers the exact same right as we afford to Smokers. That is demonstrable, ethical democracy in action.

For the record - I am vaccinated. I am in fact hyper vaccinated with everything under the sun almost jabbed straight into me compared to most anybody. However, democratically, discrimination-wise, my vaccination status should not matter. The health treatments sought aremy choice.

When anybody and everybody's vaccination status becomes everyone else's business other than my own (our own personal business), and is made public, in order to discriminate against a certain type of person in favour to a sub-set: dirty, disease spreading Jewish-like-Nazi propaganda group; then we have over stepped democratic boundaries and are now in outerspace alien territory.

And we have done here in Queensland and other states in Australia is **EXACTLY** what the Germans did to the Jews to date in relation to the vaccine/no vaccine people policies that have

been mandated (Dirty diseased Jew V's Clean disease free German & vice-versa). **A Green Tick to boot !!** No Green Tick (ie: a Jewish Symbol stuck on your shirt/phone) then no participation in normal everyday society for you,... you dirty disease spreading person !!

Whether we be talking about healthcare or religion, the effects demonstrated in the 1940's and the effects shown in 2021 & 2022 is still discrimination on the part of the Government as well as it's community and I for one of many can not believe the Jewish/Nazi plight and the Vaccinated/unvaccinated plight are the same symptom of the same problem, the deliberate discrimination of people and forcing of people to do what they do not want to do.

We do not live in China....or do we? Am I wrong, do we live in China?

Discrimination is plainly unjust and not what Queensland nor Australia should be about - are we not encouraged by Government and community groups to be inclusive and to participate in the notion of "Extended Community"?

Or ; are we all to be encouraged by precedence, to continue to force people into healthcare of someone else's choosing and not of the patient's choice?

Just look at the weather currently - we pull together. We do not deliberately segregate when there is or continue to segregate when the water comes, nor once the water recedes. The same should be democratically applied and is true of SARS-CoV-2 (19) issues and concerns.

Ethics includes "Balance". Ethics should demonstrate "inclusiveness" rather than Exclusion.

Ethics includes a level of freedom that EVERYBODY has a right to have and experience. Ethics is not the same as bullying people into submission.

Stop being a Bully. Start being inclusive.

Preventing mostly good, hardworking and enthusiastic people from an income source via mandated vaccination by employers or no job, is completely and plainly wrong. I am not specifically talking Government mandates, I am talking about the everyday Joe-Blow employer who knows nothing about disease transmission nor immunity, nor the science behind these notions, making such mandates and taking zero legal responsibility if the employee is seriously affected health-wise if or should something, somehow go wrong with the multitude of choices of vaccination treatments available.

Perhaps this is not part of the Emergency Powers Act. However, you can easily see the "precedence" being condoned by the medically uneducated to force people into healthcare treatment simply because the Government started and used the same amount of force and coercion and bullying.

Ethics. A large subject. So is the Copy Cat concern.

The Emergency is lower over-all risk now. We have an Endemic disease now, not a Pandemic disease.

It is time to move on and put down the axe. Put down the financial blackmail tactic and return to democratic, sensible and ethical medical behaviours from the Top down.

Place valid medical consent as a normal and expected practice I must abide by and release it back into my hands and my colleagues as clinicians.

I am requesting a vote against the extension of the said Bill.

Many others behind me and with us are suggesting the same.

I am very happy to chat with you should you feel like minded to communicate in a calm, concise and inclusive manner.

A deep thank you for your time.

Your sincerely,

Joseph DeMarchi

Nurse Director

CVN. BNSc. RN. Cert IV TAE.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Working Together with Colleagues

[REDACTED]



