



---

8 July 2014

The Research Director  
Agriculture, Resources and Environment Committee  
Parliament House  
George Street  
BRISBANE QLD 4000

*By email*

Dear Sir/Madam,

## **Mineral and Energy Resources (Common Provisions) Bill 2014**

Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission to the Committee. Wildlife Logan is a separately incorporated branch of Wildlife Queensland, an organisation which has been in existence for in excess of 50 years. Our focus is the protection of wildlife habitats both terrestrial and marine. We are aware of the negative impacts of mining and quarrying have on the environment particularly through non-compliance with development conditions and a generally poor record of rehabilitation in Queensland post-development.

Even small mines may last for decades and have serious impacts on our taxpayers and ratepayers finances, ecology, environment and society. Public objection rights are powerful rights to go to court, unlike mere consultation. Public objection rights to proposed mines are essential to enable the costs and benefits to be debated openly in Court and to deter the type of corruption exposed in New South Wales.

**We request that existing rights under Queensland legislation should not be changed.**

So we strongly oppose the changes proposed in the following clauses.

### **Clauses 418 and 420**

These clauses remove existing community notification rights and rights to object to

---

Wildlife Preservation Society of Queensland, Logan Branch Inc.  
PO Box 3340, LOGANHOLME, QLD 4129  
President: David Keogh 0418 714 773  
Secretary: Alan Grant 3299 4797

ABN 62 837 587 456  
Facsimile: (07) 3829 9344  
email: dpkeogh@bigpond.com  
email: aagrant1@bigpond.com

mining lease applications. Changing land tenure to allow for mining rather than another land use could impact on a broad section of the public. Therefore the narrow definition of an 'affected person' proposed, which would exclude neighbours or community groups or people in the water catchment, is absurd. Land use decision making processes for other industries provide for community submission and appeal rights, so there is no good reason why mining tenure should be exempt from this basic standard.

#### **Clause 245**

Limiting community notification and formal objection rights to the Land Court to "site specific" environmental authorities will, in conjunction with the above clauses, remove all existing public rights to lodge formal objections to the Land Court in up to 90% of mining projects<sup>[1]</sup> in Queensland. This is unacceptable and fails to recognise the positive impact of community objection rights. The same mining companies who want to limit public objections are often foreign owned. Suggestions by State government Ministers that objectors lodge frivolous or vexatious cases is entirely untrue, rather the opposite is true: there are no examples of such cases and objectors are very responsible. In the Alpha coal case (2014) the land holders and conservation group exposed that the mining company had a lack of hard data on groundwater impacts. Public spirited objectors went to Court and saved Ellison Reef (1967) from limestone mining and helped show the importance of protecting Fraser Island, now World Heritage Listed (1971).

#### **Clause 423 and 424**

It is inappropriate to restrict matters that the Land Court can consider and give these powers, such as to consider the 'public interest', to the Minister. Decreasing judicial oversight, increasing ministerial powers and shutting out community participation has worrying implications for corruption.

#### **Clause 429**

Removal of restricted land status when the miner is granted exclusive surface rights to access land removes one of the few rights of vulnerable landholders. No-one should have the land surrounding their house destroyed by an open-cut mine yet this would be possible under this clause.

We call on the Committee to approach the proposed legislation with a view to empower, rather than disempower, our communities to take responsibility for our State. In Queensland for decades any person or group has been entitled to object to any mining proposal in open court, to have the evidence scrutinised about the benefits and detriments of a proposed mine. This is a key part of the democratic process.

We request that you do not accept these changes but instead keep existing provisions that require public notification of all proposed mining projects and that allow any person or incorporated group to object to all mining leases and environmental authorities on all the existing grounds.

Please ask Minister Cripps to provide exact figures on how many of the 176 submitters to the discussion paper opposed changes to existing objection rights and detailed examples of alleged cases of vexatious objections. According to EDO Qld, at least 106 submissions

of a total of 176 submissions on the discussion paper, from both rural and urban submitters, opposed the changes. Yet Minister Cripps fails to report this key fact in p47-48 of the explanatory notes.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "David Keogh". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

**David Keogh**  
**President, Wildlife Logan**