

MACKAY CONSERVATION GROUP

The Environment Centre Tel: (07) 49530808 156 Wood St, Mackay Fax: (07) 49530153 PO BOX 826 Mob: 0403 304 081

Mackay Qld 4740 Email: mcgmail@bigpond.com
Web: www.mackayconservation group.org.au

ABN: 41 123 903 975

The Research Director
Agriculture, Resources and Environment Committee
Parliament House
George Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000
AREC@parliament.qld.gov.au
23rd March 2914

Environmental Offsets Bill 2014

Mackay Conservation Group is a regional ENGO covering northern Central Queensland from the Whitsundays to Broadsound and the northern Bowen and Galilee coal basins and the adjacent Great Barrier Reef marine waters. We have 150 members and a contact base of 1,600. We comment on mining and development ToRs and EISs in our region as well as government planning schemes and policies.

Protect the Bush Alliance is an alliance of twenty-two NGOs and community groups in Queensland and Australia addressing ways to prevent the continuing loss of areas of high conservation values to inappropriate developments.¹

We are very concerned about the use of offsets to allow large scale inappropriate developments and mines that might otherwise never be built and the legacy that will be left.

We are also concerned about the underlying belief implicit in this bill, which is that an offset policy and regulations based on this bill will actually work to prevent further biodiversity loss in our region. This assumption has no referenced research to support it. Auditing monitoring compliance will also be an issue with regards to government staff capacity to do so. How often would audits occur? Will remote sensing be also used to monitor compliance of properties with environmental offsets? Will such data be available to the public?

Only fines appear to be punishment for infringements of an environmental offset agreement. How will any conservation outcomes that are affected by infringements themselves be offset?

The bill focuses on land conservation. No mention is made of biodiversity other than the citation of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act 1999* (Cwlth). In 7(2) of the bill it

¹ http://ptba.org.au/about-us/our-member-organizations/



-

appears to be meant to address conservation outcomes for biodiversity, so we assume that the bill is intended to prevent a net loss of biodiversity. Is that the intent?

7(2) An *environmental offset* is an activity undertaken to counterbalance a significant residual impact of a prescribed activity on a prescribed environmental matter.

The lack of a legal requirement for offsets to be permanent defeats the purpose of the bill to ensure protection of remaining biodiversity.

It allows offsets to be offset. Thereby high conservation areas could be repeatedly replaced by the original offset.

It also allows an environmental offset to be used for other purposes e.g. agriculture, mining or other forms of development once the offset agreement expires.

The role of offset brokers and their regulation, obligations and accreditation does not appear to have been accounted for in this bill.

There are considerable differences between marine and terrestrial offsets yet this is not addressed in the bill.

Allowing mining or other forms of development that destroy the environmental values of protected environmental areas such as nature refuges in return for offsets destroys the intellectual integrity of the bill. This bill is not in the public interest in its present form.

Please note also MCG's comments and suggestions on sections of the bill in the following table.

Sincerely,

Patricia Julien, Research Analyst, Mackay Conservation Group

Ph: (07) 4953 0808 Tues-Wed otherwise (07) 4966 8025

E: patricia@mackayconservationgroup.org.au



(atricie Julian





Lee K Curtis, Coordinator, Protect the Bush Alliance,

PO Box 1040, MILTON CENTRE QLD 4064

Tel: 0429 070 148

Section and Description in
the Offsets Bill

Division 2 Key concepts and definitions 7 What is an offset condition and an environmental offset

(3) However, an environmental offset for a prescribed environmental matter that is a protected area, other than a nature refuge, may include the delivery of any activity that provides a social, cultural, economic or environmental benefit to any protected area.

MCG Comments and suggestions

The requirement for environmental benefit should be mandatory e.g. ... the delivery of an activity that provides environmental benefits to the protected area.

Social, cultural or economic benefits may also be considered if they can be demonstrated not impact on environmental benefits.

Example: A concrete walkway was built through the centre of Sandfly Creek Environmental Reserve immediately south of the mouth of the Pioneer River. It was part of the Blue River walkway system being built around Mackay. It is popular with walkers and cyclists. The Reserve was declared to protect the high tide wader roost sites of migratory shorebirds most of which are listed under international agreements in the EPBC Act and the habitat of the false water rat listed as vulnerable under the EPBC and QLD Nature Conservation Acts.

MCG surveys demonstrated that walkway users through this reserve are the largest source (80%) of disturbance to the roosting shorebirds. Since it was built shorebird numbers have plummeted to almost zero. This is of concern because the roost sites are the highest in the Mackay local government region and provide protection during cyclones and storms and very high tides for these shorebirds. They also adjoin the richest feeding habitat, the Pioneer River estuary, in the region.

The walkway should have been built around the reserve, not through it to protect its highest environmental value i.e. high sand dune roosts for the migratory shorebirds. Human disturbance has caused a significant decline in the biodiversity of the system. Mackay Regional Council was warned by the regional's NRM environment officer, the Queensland Wader Studies Group who conduct shorebird surveys along the coast, and Mackay Conservation Group that human disturbance would cause a major adverse impact on the shorebirds and it happened. So giving equal weight in legislation to social, cultural, or economic values with environmental in places of high environmental conservation value does not meet the objectives of such legislation i.e. primarily to prevent a decline in biodiversity.

8 What is a significant residual impact

(2) If a prescribed environmental matter is a protected area, a

The Queensland *Nature Conservation Act* 1992 allows mining in nature refuges and it appears only National Parks will be protected from mining exploration and mining activities.

It is not clear from 3(a) that significant residual impacts from mining



significant residual impact is an adverse impact of a prescribed activity that results, or will or is likely to result, in 1 or more of the following— (a) the authorised clearing or inundation of all or part of the protected area for the construction of private or

publicly owned infrastructure on the area: (b) the exclusion of, or reduction in, the public

enjoyment of all or part of the protected area; (c) a reduction in the natural or cultural values, within the meaning of the Nature Conservation Act 1992, of all or

part of the protected area. (3) However, an impact as mentioned in subsection

(2) is not a significant residual impact for the protected area if the prescribed activity is— (a) conducted by an authorised person performing functions under the *Nature* Conservation Act 1992; and (b) consistent with the management of the area under the Nature Conservation Act 1992,

are a part of proscribed activities that are exempt from being labelled as a significant residual impact, because they would be conducted by an authorised (via a mining permit) person performing functions under the Nature Conservation Act 1992.

Recommend that the definition of "authorised persons" be clearly described.

10 What is a prescribed environmental matter and a matter

Where do matters of regional conservation significance fit?

There is the issue of more than one local regional council in a bioregion, and if such matters came under regional councils



section 15.

of environmental significance

(1) A prescribed environmental matter is any of the following matters prescribed under a regulation to be a prescribed environmental matter—
(a) a matter of national environmental significance;
(b) a matter of State environmental significance;
(c) a matter of local environmental

significance.

there could be different levels of protection for the same matter under each council in the bioregion, causing confusion.

This suggests matters of regional conservation significance should be managed under

(b) a matter of State environmental significance; And this should be stated in the legislation.

We note that *matter of State environmental significance* means a matter of environmental significance that is dealt with under a State law.

Matters of regional conservation significance do not appear to be covered by State law and do not efficiently fit in at the local level so this matter must be addressed perhaps by including it in this legislation if a net biodiversity loss is to be avoided. Example: Bimblebox Nature Refuge had almost every bird species of regional conservation significance for each regional ecosystem in the refuge. But protection of the habitats of these species in the refuge were not required to be taken into account in assessing the offset. Suitable habitats for two of these species in particular the speckled warbler which requires a lot of dead trees and detritus on the ground for protective cover i.e. uncleared and un-grazed, and the grey-crowned babbler are especially prevalent in this refuge and uncommon outside of it but their needs are not mentioned in the Statement of Reasons for the decision to mine the refuge.

That is how will this proposed legislation for the use of offsets prevent a net decline in near threatened and species of regional conservation significance with widespread clearing and mining as proposed for the Galilee Coal Basin and the Galilee Basin State Development Area's proposed Rail Preconcts?

11 Conservation outcome achieved by environmental offset

A conservation outcome is achieved by an environmental offset for a prescribed activity for a prescribed environmental matter if the offset is selected, designed and managed to maintain the viability of the matter.

Please define "viability".

We assume it means "no net loss" or "a net gain" in relation to biodiversity. Is that correct?

There is also the question of how viability can be scientifically proven to be maintained with the selection, design and management of an offset especially where it may take decades or centuries to demonstrate that the offset is successful.

The approach used in this proposed legislation favours development over the high risk in many cases that the offset may fail. This does meet the objective of the Precautionary Principle to prevent environmental degradation i.e. where there is insufficient scientific certainty the offset will meet objectives the development should not proceed. In law cases have established that that the burden of proving the acceptability of a proposal where there is scientific uncertainty about its impacts lies with the developer or proponent. The wording in Section 11 implies that the offset will always work if selected, designed and managed properly. That cannot be guaranteed. The legislation needs to require an independent risk



assessment that evaluates the likelihood of the offset succeeding.

Part 4 Environmental offsets policies

12 (2) (2) As soon as possible after the prescription as an environmental offsets policy of a document made by the chief executive, the chief executive must make the policy available for inspection in the way the chief executive considers appropriate

Environmental offset policies should be made available to the public in an easily accessible form as environmental protection is a matter of high public interest and one of the primary responsibilities of government. This need applies at the local and state government level.

15 Restriction on imposition of offset condition

If the Precautionary Principle is to be observed the offset that provides the best level of protection for impacted prescribed matters should be selected no matter what level of government it comes from. This is because is some cases a local government may have more on ground knowledge than the Australian government. What is most desirable is that all levels of government cooperate to ensure the best outcome for the environment and knowledge and expertise at the local, state and federal level is pooled to ensure that happens.

The proposed legislation could specify that only one offset is required for the affected matter, to be determined through the cooperation of all levels of government to ensure all available information and expertise is considered.

Responsibility for the final offset would rest with the level of significance of the development project. If for example matter is a State Significant development the state Coordinator-General is responsible for the final offset and legal accountability to the public that all knowledge and expertise was used to establish the offset condition and it has an acceptable low risk of failure.

Division 2 Election before starting prescribed activity 18 Election about delivery of offset condition

(2) It is a condition of the authority that, before the authority holder starts any part of the prescribed

More than notice of the offset should be given before the authority holder starts any part of the prescribed activity to which the offset condition relates.

Only large developers or mining companies can afford offsets and their projects are usually the result of years of prior planning so they are well in a position to have a prepared and approved offset in position before commencing the prescribed activity.

In many cases they may be in the preferred position of having implemented a pre-emptive offset activity to ensure a high conservation value offset asset is already established and available



activity to which the offset condition relates, the holder must—

(a) elect, by notice given to the administering agency, to deliver the offset condition by—

- (i) a proponent-driven offset; or
- (ii) a financial settlement offset; or
- (iii) a combination of a proponent-driven offset and a financial settlement offset;
- (b) agree with the administering agency about the delivery of the offset condition.

prior to and in advance of the impact occurring

Too often we have seen coal mines like Xstrata's Rolleston coal mine near Springsure in central Queensland on its third mine expansion with the offset for the first expansion yet to be implemented.

The legislation must require demonstrable proof that all alternatives to an offset were tried and were unsuccessful and that the selected and approved offset is legally signed and being implemented before the authority holder starts any part of the prescribed activity to which the offset condition relates.

Otherwise there is no guarantee the objects of this proposed legislation will be met.

Section 18 (cont.)

- (5) For subsection (4)(a), the offset delivery plan must—
- (a) effectively account for and manage the risks of the offset failing to achieve the conservation outcome

It should be clear in this legislation what level of risk for an offset may fail determines that the development or mining project should not proceed.

Risk levels should not only be determined by the proponent who has a vested interest in minimizing the risk of offset failure, but be also evaluated by the relevant government department and an independent expert where the government has a vested interest in seeing the proponent's proposal proceed.

19 Reaching agreement about delivery

(2) If the administering agency decides it is appropriate to deliver the offset condition in the way stated in the notice of election and any offset delivery plan, it must give the authority holder a notice that states it—

(a) agrees with the holder delivering the offset in the stated way; and
(b) requires the holder to

See comments above in the first section of Division 2.

We do not see any penalty conditions for failure to provide and implement a timely offset.



(an <i>agreed delivery</i>	
arrangement) within a	
stated reasonable time	
about delivery of the	
offset condition.	
Division 3	It is not clear how much input, oversight and management by the
Proponent-driven	overseeing authority that there is on proponent-driven offsets.
offsets	What are the lightlift, conditions and who has that responsibility for
	What are the liability conditions and who has that responsibility for
Division 4	accountability if a third party broker is involved? This is a form of indirect offset. The difference between direct and
	indirect offsets needs to be explained in this legislation. And when
Financial	and where they are applicable.
settlement offsets	
23 Requirements for	This regulation needs to be made available for public review as a part
financial settlement	of the public consultation for this proposed legislation.
offsets	Formula of the mainly and the mainly of the first of the control o
(3) In deciding the amount	Examples of financial requirements for offsets I have seen fall far
to be required as a	short of meeting the costs that would occur to deliver and maintain an offset. Inadequate financial requirements would threaten the
financial settlement	integrity of an offsets program because objectives could not be
offset, the administering	delivered.
agency must calculate the	delivered.
amount in the way	
prescribed under a regulation.	
	It should be clear in the legislation that once the environmental
Part 7	offset agreement ends the offset site no longer has protection and is
Environmental	available for later development, and hence the risk of later
offset	contributing to long-term environmental loss.
Agreements	
26 Duration of	It could not be used twice as an offset if it is to meet the requirement
environmental offset	of no net loss of biodiversity as another area of high conservation
agreement	value would be being lost to create the need for an environmental
An environmental offset	offset.
agreement has effect until	
the period of the	
agreement ends unless it is	
terminated earlier in	
accordance with the terms	
of the agreement.	
27 Variation etc. of	That could not happen for the same offset site. See comments in
environmental offset	section above. Otherwise it would represent a net loss of biodiversity
agreement	i.e. the one site used to offset the loss of two or more significant
(1) This section applies if	impact actions.
a relevant agency has	
entered into an	
environmental offset	
agreement (an earlier	
agreement) under	



section 25. (2) The relevant agency, and an entity bound by the earlier agreement, may enter into another environmental offset agreement (the <i>later agreement</i>) that varies, or terminates and replaces, the earlier agreement.	
Part 8 Legally secured offset	No requirement for permanent protection to ensure no net loss of biodiversity. Without that requirement there can be no biodiversity outcome of net benefit to future generations. So it cannot meet the
areas	public interest requirement for all legislation.
(5) Subsection (3) does not apply in relation to land within the area the subject of the declaration if the land cannot be registered under the Land Act 1994 or the Land Title Act 1994.	A registered covenant is taken not to be registered under the <i>Land Act</i> 1994 or the <i>Land Title Act</i> 1994 to the extent that it is inconsistent with the legislation. ³ What implications does this have for legally secured offset areas?
32 Amending or	If the declaration is removed what takes its place to ensure
revoking declaration A regulation may provide for the chief executive to do the following in relation to the declaration of an environmental offset protection area made under section 29— (a) amend the declaration; (b) revoke and remake the declaration; (c) revoke the declaration	conservation outcomes for the land and biodiversity that was lost to development or mining?
Part 11 Amounts	Under what conditions does an indirect offset involving a financial
received as	settlement apply? Best international practice ⁴ for offsets precludes the use of payments for direct offsets so why are they included in
financial	this section?
settlement	How are payment amounts from the offsets account for a particular

http://www.dnrm.qld.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/97177/ltpm-part-31.pdf
 ICMM and IUCN independent report on biodiversity offsets. Jan 2013 https://www.icmm.com/news/icmm- and-iucn-release-report-on-biodiversity-offsets



offsets etc	direct or indirect offset determined? Is there any cap on such payments for each offset? We have seen offsets funding in the past used for purposes other than offsets in local government in the past (e.g. Barnes Creek offsets for the East West connector road and levee in Mackay). What precludes a local government for using offset payments for other purposes than offsets? Who audits that spending? What are the penalties for non-compliance?
92 Regulation-making power 2 (b)	What happens when a land owner or heirs wish to rescind an advanced offset? What implications does that have of the offsets
provide for an area of land to be identified by an owner to be used for the purposes of an environmental offset in the future (an <i>advanced offset</i>) and for the use of advanced offsets, including, for example, by providing for trade in relation to advanced offsets;	land bank program?