SUBMISSION TO:

Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016

SUBMISSION COVER SHEET

Closing date for submissions is 25 April 2016.

Please complete and submit this form with your submission to:

int ald gov au	Post:	F	Fax: 07 3553 6699
ni.qiu.gov.au	Agricu Comm Parliar	lture and Env ittee nent House	
Alan	Delac	m	
AS A	BOVE		
			LAURA
QLD	State:	PLP	Postcode: 4892
AS	ABOVE		
	State:		Postcode:
Yes, all	Yes, part 🗌	No 🔽	(copy box to indicate answer)
	LANIS QLD AS	Resea Agricu Comm Parliar BRISB Man Mac ABOVE LAND OWN State: MS ABOVE State:	Research Director Agriculture and Env Committee Parliament House BRISBANE QLD 40 Man MADON BS ABOVE AMND OWNER AND OWNER State: OLP AS ABOVE State:

I provide my submission in support of the continuation of the Current Vegetation Management Act 1999 and rejection of the changes proposed in the Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 ("the Bill").

My overriding issue with the Bill is that its introduction in the Queensland Parliament on 17th March represents *yet another* variation to the Vegetation Management Framework, which has been amended over 18 times since its introduction in 1999. This constant change in legislation severely impacts on the ability of farm managers to plan and implement effective long-term property and business management decisions. Ecological processes work in much longer timeframes and can be severely compromised when mismatching regulations are enforced. Farmers have long called for certainty with the vegetation management regulatory framework. With the Bill being introduced when farmers are on their knees with over 86% of Queensland in drought conditions, it should come as no surprise that I am totally opposed to continued uncertainty and attacks on the viability of myself, the long-term sustainability of my business as well as attacks on fellow farmers.

1. Removing High Value Agriculture and Irrigated High Value Agriculture from the Vegetation Management Framework

Background

HVA and IHVA permits provide farmers in northern Queensland with the opportunity to grow fodder and grain for supplementing in the dry season and finishing off stock for market.

The removal of HVA and IHVA is in direct conflict with the Australian Government White Paper on the Development of Northern Australia. The Changes to the Vegetation Management Framework will prevent indigenous and non-indigenous land holders from developing agriculture projects.

*B. LANPOWNERS OF HYALAND Should be GIVEN The OPPERTUNITY TO DEVELOP THEIZ LACHP TO FULL PONTENTIAL 2. Re-introducing Reverse Onus-of-Proof Background

THE GRAD OWNER

The inclusion of Reverse Onus of Proof in Queensland Government's Vegetation Management Framework is a direct affront to the rights and liberties of farmers. Reverse Onus relegates farmers clearing vegetation to a level below that of criminals, where they are denied common justice under Section 24 of the Criminal Code: Mistake of fact. In Queensland farmers will be presumed guilty until they are proven innocent. They are also refused the defence in making a mistake, despite known faults with the mapping layers.

*C. REMOVES THE DEMOCRATIC RIGHT OF

4. Including High Value Regrowth as an additional layer of regulation under the

 Including High Value Regrowth as an additional layer of regulation under the Vegetation Management Framework on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land

Background

The re-inclusion of High Value Regrowth (HVR) as an additional layer of regulation on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land is an overt grab by Queensland Government in search of targets for meeting international treaties such as the Kyoto Protocol and more recently the 2015 Paris Climate Deal.

*E. THE CONTINUEL CHANGE TO LEGISLATION CAUSES CONFRESION: AND PRESSURE ON The LAND OWNER SONG CANNOT PLAN FOR THS FATURE

5. Increasing Category R vegetation to include the Burdekin, Mackay, Whitsunday and Wet Tropics Great Barrier Reef catchments and additional catchments Burnett Mary, Eastern Cape York and Fitzroy.

Background

This increase in Category R provisions is a further restriction on development in Northern Queensland, which is in stark contrast to the development imperatives contained with the White Paper on Developing Northern Australia.

The science is completely unproven on the necessity to include ≥50 metre buffers along streamlines. In fact, a study conducted in Queensland and published in 2016 shows that grass is a far better assimilator for nitrogen to prevent leaching into waterways. The current bleaching of the Great Barrier Reef is not caused by high nutrient runoff from agricultural lands.

*F. TO-DAYA FARAMERS NINNAGERS OF THEIR HAND USING MORERN TECHNICS. THEY PONNA NEEDAYY MORE RESTRICTIONS 6. Other matters relevant to the Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 that the review committee should consider appropriate and worth some consideration *G. THE EVER INCREDSING HAVENTGOYMENT THE INCREMSING COSTISTOFARM PROPACTING. CHUSED BY POOR LESSLATION

Signed:	-	
Address:	-	1 2 -
)ate:	-	LAUZ)