

## SUBMISSION TO:

Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation  
Amendment Bill 2016

## SUBMISSION COVER SHEET

Closing date for submissions is 25 April 2016.

Please complete and submit this form with your submission to:

Email:  
vminquiry@parliament.qld.gov.au

Post: Fax: 07 3553 6699

Research Director  
Agriculture and Environment  
Committee  
Parliament House  
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Organisation or individual:

ASHLEIGH HATFIELD

Principal contact:

ASHLEIGH HATFIELD

Position:

LAND OWNER / MANAGER

Telephone:

Fax:

Mobile:

Email address:

Street address:

Suburb/City:

EAST PALMERSTON

State:

QLD

Postcode:

4860

Postal address:

Suburb/City:

NANGAN

State:

QLD

Postcode:

4860

Is all or part of your  
submission confidential?Yes, all ☐Yes, part ☐No ☐☒ (copy box to indicate answer)If part, please identify  
which sections are  
confidential:

Reason for confidentiality:

## SUBMISSION

I provide my submission in support of the continuation of the Current Vegetation Management Act 1999 and rejection of the changes proposed in the Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 ("the Bill").

My overriding issue with the Bill is that its introduction in the Queensland Parliament on 17<sup>th</sup> March represents **yet another** variation to the Vegetation Management Framework, which has been amended over 18 times since its introduction in 1999. This constant change in legislation severely impacts on the ability of farm managers to plan and implement effective long-term property and business management decisions. Ecological processes work in much longer timeframes and can be severely compromised when mismatching regulations are enforced. Farmers have long called for certainty with the vegetation management regulatory framework. With the Bill being introduced when farmers are on their knees with over 86% of Queensland in drought conditions, it should come as no surprise that I am totally opposed to continued uncertainty and attacks on the viability of myself, the long-term sustainability of my business as well as attacks on fellow farmers.

AS I DID NOT APPLY BEFORE THIS BILL CAME IN, I <sup>FOR A PMA</sup> HAVE LOST THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE ABLE TO DEVELOP MY LAND ACCORDINGLY. THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A GOVERNMENT WORKSHOP & EXPLANATION OF MAPPING. THE VALUATION OF MY LAND HAS DRAMATICALLY DECREASED AND POSITION WITH FINANCIERS SEVERELY COMPROMISED.

### 1. Removing High Value Agriculture and Irrigated High Value Agriculture from the Vegetation Management Framework

#### Background

HVA and IHVA permits provide farmers in northern Queensland with the opportunity to grow fodder and grain for supplementing in the dry season and finishing off stock for market.

The removal of HVA and IHVA is in direct conflict with the Australian Government White Paper on the Development of Northern Australia. The Changes to the Vegetation Management Framework will prevent indigenous and non-indigenous land holders from developing agriculture projects.

THE ABILITY TO BE ABLE TO SUSTAINABLY DEVELOP AND MANAGE OUR PROPERTY HAS BEEN TAKEN AWAY FROM US. GROWING A HIGH VALUE CROP MEANS OUR CHILDREN WILL BE PROVIDED FOR INTO THE FUTURE, AND ALLOW US TO RIDE THE ROUGH SEASONS KNOWING STOCK FEED IS ON HAND WITHOUT RUINING THE LAND BY OVERGRAZING.

## 2. Re-introducing Reverse Onus-of-Proof

### Background

The inclusion of Reverse Onus of Proof in Queensland Government's Vegetation Management Framework is a direct affront to the rights and liberties of farmers. Reverse Onus relegates farmers clearing vegetation to a level below that of criminals, where they are denied common justice under Section 24 of the Criminal Code: Mistake of fact. In Queensland farmers will be presumed guilty until they are proven innocent. They are also refused the defence in making a mistake, despite known faults with the mapping layers.

\*C.

~~GRAZERS ARE RESPONSIBLE, CONSERVATIVE LAND MANAGERS.~~

GRAZERS ARE RESPONSIBLE, CONSERVATIVE LAND MANAGERS.  
EVERY ACTION IS TO IMPROVE LAND CONDITION, NOT  
DESTROY IT.

A LOT OF THESE MAPS ARE INCORRECT. IT IS THE  
GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY TO CORRECT THESE, NOT  
THE INNOCENT LAND MANAGER.

GRAZERS SHOULD BE PRAISED FOR THEIR GOOD  
MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, NOT JAILED.

## 4. Including High Value Regrowth as an additional layer of regulation under the Vegetation Management Framework on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land

### Background

The re-inclusion of High Value Regrowth (HVR) as an additional layer of regulation on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land is an overt grab by Queensland Government in search of targets for meeting international treaties such as the Kyoto Protocol and more recently the 2015 Paris Climate Deal.

\*E. THE GOVERNMENT HAS NEVER PROVIDED AN EXPLANATION  
OF "GLOBE" OR MAPPING LAYERS. HOW ARE WE  
TO UNDERSTAND 'HVR' WHEN WE'VE NEVER HEARD  
OF IT OR THE PROGRAMS.

THESE MAPS INSTANTLY DEVALUE ANY FREEHOLD  
PROPERTY PURCHASED UNDER THE AS BEING CLEARED.  
AGRICULTURAL LAND.



5. Increasing Category R vegetation to include the Burdekin, Mackay, Whitsunday and Wet Tropics Great Barrier Reef catchments and additional catchments Burnett Mary, Eastern Cape York and Fitzroy.

**Background**

This increase in Category R provisions is a further restriction on development in Northern Queensland, which is in stark contrast to the development imperatives contained with the White Paper on Developing Northern Australia.

The science is completely unproven on the necessity to include  $\geq 50$  metre buffers along streamlines. In fact, a study conducted in Queensland and published in 2016 shows that grass is a far better assimilator for nitrogen to prevent leaching into waterways. The current bleaching of the Great Barrier Reef is not caused by high nutrient runoff from agricultural lands.

\*F. TREES CROWD OUT GROUND-COVER, EXPOSING BARE GROUND ALLOWING MORE SILT RUN-OFF; OPPOSED TO GRASS & GROUND COVER WHICH HOLDS THE SOIL TOGETHER. THUS REDUCING SILT INTO WATERWAYS. A SMALLER BUFFER WILL ALLOW GROUND COVER TO ESTABLISH & PROTECT VALUABLE WATERWAYS.

6. Other matters relevant to the Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 that the review committee should consider appropriate and worth some consideration

\*G. SUPPORT GRAZERS IN THEIR CONSERVATION EFFORTS, NOT HINDER THEM BY INTRODUCING RIDICULOUS LAWS TO APPEASE THE GREENS INCORRECT "SCIENCE" PROPOSALS.

Signed:	
Address:	
Date:	15/04/16.

, EAST PALMERSTON.