Fax: 07 3553 6699

SUBMISSION TO:

Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016

SUBMISSION COVER SHEET

Post:

Closing date for submissions is 25 April 2016.

Email:

Please complete and submit this form with your submission to:

vminquiry@parliam	ent.qld.gov.au	Agricultu Committi Parliame	ch Director ure and Environtee ent House NE QLD 4000		
Organisation or individual:	RG + F	AM RAY	MON	D	
Principal contact:	ANNE RA	YMONI)		
Position:	FAMILY/	1			
Telephone:		ax:			
Mobile:					
Email address:					
Street address:					
Suburb/City:	VIA LAURI	State:	2LD	Postcode:	£89&
Postal address:	_				
Suburb/City:	CAIRNS M	C State: Q	LD	Postcode:	1 871
Is all or part of your submission confidential?	Yes, all Yes	es, part 🗌	No 🖸	(copy bo	ox to indicate answer)
If part, please identify which sections are confidential:					
Reason for confidentiality:					

SUBMISSION

I provide my submission in support of the continuation of the Current Vegetation Management Act 1999 and rejection of the changes proposed in the Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 ("the Bill").

My overriding issue with the Bill is that its introduction in the Queensland Parliament on 17th March represents *yet another* variation to the Vegetation Management Framework, which has been amended over 18 times since its introduction in 1999. This constant change in legislation severely impacts on the ability of farm managers to plan and implement effective long-term property and business management decisions. Ecological processes work in much longer timeframes and can be severely compromised when mismatching regulations are enforced. Farmers have long called for certainty with the vegetation management regulatory framework. With the Bill being introduced when farmers are on their knees with over 86% of Queensland in drought conditions, it should come as no surprise that I am totally opposed to continued uncertainty and attacks on the viability of myself, the long-term sustainability of my business as well as attacks on fellow farmers.

Because of the changes to Vegetation Management our property can not be developed for best management practices and we have not been given the change to have a PMAV we don't know what a PMAV is or had it explained to us.

The need to drought proof our property in part to grow hay has now been taken away from us

1. Removing High Value Agriculture and Irrigated High Value Agriculture from the Vegetation Management Framework

Background

HVA and IHVA permits provide farmers in northern Queensland with the opportunity to grow fodder and grain for supplementing in the dry season and finishing off stock for market.

The removal of HVA and IHVA is in direct conflict with the Australian Government White Paper on the Development of Northern Australia. The Changes to the Vegetation Management Framework will prevent indigenous and non-indigenous land holders from developing agriculture projects.

The Vegetation Management changes have stopped any chance to grow hay a grain to help with drought proofing our property in the Cuture. I am 4th generation living and working in the area put don't see an econimic future for our children or grandchildren.

The virial of the second of th

The state of the s

The court of the c

2. Re-introducing Reverse Onus-of-Proof

Background

The inclusion of Reverse Onus of Proof in Queensland Government's Vegetation Management Framework is a direct affront to the rights and liberties of farmers. Reverse Onus relegates farmers clearing vegetation to a level below that of criminals, where they are denied common justice under Section 24 of the Criminal Code: Mistake of fact. In Queensland farmers will be presumed guilty until they are proven innocent. They are also refused the defence in making a mistake, despite known faults with the mapping layers.

with the VM changes and Reverse Onus of Proof it take away our civil libities and We are insulted that the government are treating us worst than a criminal.

How can we be classed guilty when the maps are so wrong and we are unable to get the relevent information to identify areas of concern

 Including High Value Regrowth as an additional layer of regulation under the Vegetation Management Framework on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land

Background

The re-inclusion of High Value Regrowth (HVR) as an additional layer of regulation on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land is an overt grab by Queensland Government in search of targets for meeting international treaties such as the Kyoto Protocol and more recently the 2015 Paris Climate Deal.

*E. Why hasn't there been a campain to educate landholders on the use of Globe and tell us how to use it. Why are maps being produced with out checking the information on thoes maps.

+-, 8

Control of the second control of the second

12

· Services

5. Increasing Category R vegetation to include the Burdekin, Mackay, Whitsunday and Wet Tropics Great Barrier Reef catchments and additional catchments Burnett Mary, Eastern Cape York and Fitzroy.

Background

This increase in Category R provisions is a further restriction on development in Northern Queensland, which is in stark contrast to the development imperatives contained with the White Paper on Developing Northern Australia.

The science is completely unproven on the necessity to include ≥50 metre buffers along streamlines. In fact, a study conducted in Queensland and published in 2016 shows that grass is a far better assimilator for nitrogen to prevent leaching into waterways. The current bleaching of the Great Barrier Reef is not caused by high nutrient runoff from agricultural lands.

More trees the less ground cover there is grass is the most important ground cover because it filters run off and stops top soil from being washed away and causing errosion.

It is in our best interest to look after our ground covers and top soil it is important to our business

 Other matters relevant to the Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 that the review committee should consider appropriate and worth some consideration

*6. As land managers and business people we are in the best position to look after our land we have every intention to pass it on to future generations

Signed:			
Address:		QLD	4871
Date:	15/4/2016		

The state of the s

ne francis in a september of teams of the property of a september of a september

The second proving any second reserves to the second secon

**