# SUBMISSION TO:

## Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016

## SUBMISSION COVER SHEET

### Closing date for submissions is 25 April 2016.

Please complete and submit this form with your submission to:

Email: vminquiry@parliame	nt old gov ou	Post:	Fax: 07 3553 6699
viningun y@paniame	nt.qu.gov.au	Research Director Agriculture and Er Committee Parliament House BRISBANE QLD 4	wironment
Organisation or individual:	V ton.	INERARDI	4
Principal contact:	mary	INVERARDI	
Position:	A		
Telephone:		Fax:	
Mobile:			
Email address:			
Street address:			
Suburb/City:	AKELAND	State: QLD .	Postcode: 4871
Postal address:			
Suburb/City:	CAIRNS	State: QLS	Postcode: 4-820,
Is all or part of your submission confidential?	Yes, all 📋 Yo	es, part 🗌 No 🗙	(copy box to indicate answer)
If part, please identify which sections are confidential:			
Reason for confidentiality:			

### SUBMISSION

I provide my submission in support of the continuation of the Current Vegetation Management Act 1999 and rejection of the changes proposed in the Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 ("the Bill").

My overriding issue with the Bill is that its introduction in the Queensland Parliament on 17<sup>th</sup> March represents *yet another* variation to the Vegetation Management Framework, which has been amended over 18 times since its introduction in 1999. This constant change in legislation severely impacts on the ability of farm managers to plan and implement effective long-term property and business management decisions. Ecological processes work in much longer timeframes and can be severely compromised when mismatching regulations are enforced. Farmers have long called for certainty with the vegetation management regulatory framework. With the Bill being introduced when farmers are on their knees with over 86% of Queensland in drought conditions, it should come as no surprise that I am totally opposed to continued uncertainty and attacks on the viability of myself, the long-term sustainability of my business as well as attacks on fellow farmers.

This bill is kinding improvement projects on my property eg. HAY PAPPocks.

1. Removing High Value Agriculture and Irrigated High Value Agriculture from the Vegetation Management Framework

Background

HVA and IHVA permits provide farmers in northern Queensland with the opportunity to grow fodder and grain for supplementing in the dry season and finishing off stock for market.

The removal of HVA and IHVA is in direct conflict with the Australian Government White Paper on the Development of Northern Australia. The Changes to the Vegetation Management Framework will prevent indigenous and non-indigenous land holders from developing agriculture projects.

This bill is denying The opportunity to draught proof aux business. We only won't to clear a small pecentage to make our ren better.

2. Re-introducing Reverse Onus-of-Proof

#### Background

The inclusion of Reverse Onus of Proof in Queensland Government's Vegetation Management Framework is a direct affront to the rights and liberties of farmers. Reverse Onus relegates farmers clearing vegetation to a level below that of criminals, where they are denied common justice under Section 24 of the Criminal Code: Mistake of fact. In Queensland farmers will be presumed guilty until they are proven innocent. They are also refused the defence in making a mistake, despite known faults with the mapping layers.

Kow can you. The fait - make a tax paying family feel like criminale ??? -we take a lot of pride and a lot of planning on our station so not to make a stuff-ups cost too much !! Must Think we stupid!

 Including High Value Regrowth as an additional layer of regulation under the Vegetation Management Framework on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land

Background

The re-inclusion of High Value Regrowth (HVR) as an additional layer of regulation on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land is an overt grab by Queensland Government in search of targets for meeting international treaties such as the Kyoto Protocol and more recently the 2015 Paris Climate Deal.

No are from The fort. Dept. has come to \*E. us to explain any sort of mapping eg GLOBE NB. so please explain.

5. Increasing Category R vegetation to include the Burdekin, Mackay, Whitsunday and Wet Tropics Great Barrier Reef catchments and additional catchments Burnett Mary, Eastern Cape York and Fitzroy.

Background

This increase in Category R provisions is a further restriction on development in Northern Queensland, which is in stark contrast to the development imperatives contained with the White Paper on Developing Northern Australia.

The science is completely unproven on the necessity to include ≥50 metre buffers along streamlines. In fact, a study conducted in Queensland and published in 2016 shows that grass is a far better assimilator for nitrogen to prevent leaching into waterways. The current bleaching of the Great Barrier Reef is not caused by high nutrient runoff from agricultural lands.

\*F. From our experience groups cover is far better than the cover to protect run-off from agriculturel lande.

 Other matters relevant to the Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 that the review committee should consider appropriate and worth some consideration

\*G. Flow on disadvantages from this will eg other businesses.

Signed:	-		44	
Address:	C	AIRNS	4870.	
Date:	15/ 4/2016			