## SUBMISSION TO:

Submission No. 478

Fax: 07 3553 6699

# Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation **Amendment Bill 2016**

### SUBMISSION COVER SHEET

Post:

Closing date for submissions is 25 April 2016.

Email:

Reason for confidentiality:

Please complete and submit this form with your submission to:

vrninquiry@paniame	ant.qid.gov.au	Ag Co Pa	esearch Director priculture and En emmittee priament House RISBANE QLD 4	vironment		
Organisation or individual:	KG+K	R ROBER	TSON			
Principal contact:	KAREN	ROB EK	rson			y=1=1
Position:	PARTMER					
Telephone:						
Mobile:						)-i-i-
Email address:						
Street address:						20000
Suburb/City:	( )	State:	040	Postcode:	4871	
Postal address:						-/
Suburb/City:		State:	QLD	Postcode:	4870	
Is all or part of your submission confidential?	Yes, all	Yes, part	No 🔀	⊠ (copy	/ box to indicate	answer)
If part, please identify which sections are confidential:						, -

For example, Indigenous landowners on the Gilbert River in northern Queensland preparing to submit IHVA applications have now been denied the possibility of stabilising beef production and employing community labour on their properties.

\*B. The ability to be able to improve our suspensability to create a hay paddock. This would coable us to feed cattle on property without naving to truck in hay. By trucking in hay we bring weeds in that have a negoritive affect on our trait portal property.

We also need the ability to be able to enter bound this is a safety issue, having up to around so people on out, the majority of those State are indigenous.

2. Re-introducing Reverse Onus-of-Proof

#### Background

The inclusion of Reverse Onus of Proof in Queensland Government's Vegetation Management Framework is a direct affront to the rights and liberties of farmers. Reverse Onus relegates farmers clearing vegetation to a level below that of criminals, where they are denied common justice under Section 24 of the Criminal Code: Mistake of fact. In Queensland not only are farmers presumed guilty until they are proven innocent, but they are refused the possibility of making a mistake.

\*c. Our basic human right in Australia is the presumption of Innominance. to take this away is placing our us at and simply injust.

3. That no compensation will be payable to HVA, IHVA and Property Map of Assessable Vegetation (PMAV) applicants during transitional arrangements

#### Background

The proposal that compensation will not be available for HVA, IHVA or PMAV applicants during the Bill transition period may be a tactic to prevent panic clearing, but the implications for compensation for vegetation management in the broader sense are quite alarming.

With the cessation of broad scale land-clearing, compensation for landholders to offset opportunity cost, lost development potential and decreased property value has been a critical omission from the Vegetation Management Regulatory Framework. The issue of compensation has been debated heavily by federal and state legislators, however a precedent was set by the Beattie Government in 2004 with provision of \$150 million over 5 years to offset landholder losses due to the removal of their rights to clear. This however was a copout with the funds unable to provide effective recompense for opportunity costs incurred, despite prior assessment undertaken for the Commonwealth Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry in 2003. In 2004, there was no doubt considerable rejoicing by the Queensland Government who boasted of compensating carbon dioxide abatement for less than \$1 a tonne!

5. Increasing Category R vegetation to include the Burdekin, Mackay, Whitsunday and Wet Tropics Great Barrier Reef catchments and additional catchments Burnett Mary, Eastern Cape York and Fitzroy.

#### Background

This increase in Category R provisions is a further restriction on development in Northern Queensland, which is in stark contrast to the development imperatives contained with the White Paper on Developing Northern Australia.

The science is completely unproven on the necessity to include ≥50 metre buffers along streamlines. In fact, a study conducted in Queensland and published in 2016 shows that grass is a far better assimilator for nitrogen to prevent leaching into waterways. The current bleaching of the Great Barrier Reef is not caused by high nutrient runoff from agricultural lands.

- \*F. Because we are long term residents of the Cape we want to see indigenous and non-indigenous residents propper and break the welfare exter.

  Cycle. My parents in the past used to muster Aurukun and would have up to 50,000 couttle, imagina what that could as for the Aurukun committy, in wealth generation and self worth. Indigenous communities are being be defined the apportunity to create a viable business's
  - Other matters relevant to the Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 that the review committee should consider appropriate and worth some consideration
- \*G. Approx 1987 this property (Brtavia) Commenced as a Queensland Gout DPI reasearch Station. It Continued as a research station until 1994. We are currently 8411 working to control "LASS ? weeds that were planted as trial crops, specifically Pannical Joint Vetch (legume). This proves producers are the best land managers.

Signed:		
Address:		
Date:	9/4/2016	