SUBMISSION TO:

Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation **Amendment Bill 2016**

SUBMISSION COVER SHEET

Closing date for submissions is 25 April 2016.

Email:

Please complete and submit this form with your submission to:

Email:	ent ald carrain	Post:	Fax: 07 3553 6699
vminquiry@parliame	nt.qid.gov.au	Research Dire	otos
		Agriculture and	Environment
	Committee		
		Parliament Hou	
		BRISBANE QL	.D 4000
Organisation or individual:	T	MACCAEL	*/
	11M	MEGREE	/V
Principal contact:	TIM	MCGREE	N
Position:	Field of	0	
	- 1100 SH	ncer for	Balky
Telephone:		Fax:	
10.14			
Mobile:			
Email address:			
Street address:			11-00
			Hopevale
Suburb/City:		State: Q (C	
Postal address:	_		
· Ostar adaress,			Hopevale.
Suburb/City:		State: Qle	Postcode:
	,,_,		Postcode: 48 95
Is all or part of your	v		W
submission confidential?	Yes, all Yes	es, part No [(copy box to indicate answer)
If part, please identify			
which sections are			
confidential:			
-			
Reason for confidentiality:			

SUBMISSION

I provide my submission in support of the continuation of the Current Vegetation Management Act 1999 and rejection of the changes proposed in the Vegetation Management (Reinstatement) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 ("the Bill").

My overriding issue with the Bill is that its introduction in the Queensland Parliament on 17th March represents yet another variation to the Vegetation Management Framework, which has been amended over 18 times since its introduction in 1999. This constant change in legislation severely impacts on the ability of farm managers to plan and implement effective long-term property and business management decisions. Ecological processes work in much longer timeframes and can be severely compromised when mismatching regulations are enforced. Farmers have long called for certainty with the vegetation management regulatory framework. With the Bill being introduced when farmers are on their knees with over 86% of Queensland in drought conditions, it should come as no surprise that I am totally opposed to continued uncertainty and attacks on the viability of myself, the long-term sustainability of my business as well as attacks on fellow farmers.

walee it hard for the my future generation.

Removing High Value Agriculture and Irrigated High Value Agriculture from the Vegetation Management Framework

Background

HVA and IHVA permits provide farmers in northern Queensland with the opportunity to grow fodder and grain for supplementing in the dry season and finishing off stock for market.

The removal of HVA and IHVA is in direct conflict with the Australian Government White Paper on the Development of Northern Australia. The Changes to the Vegetation Management Framework will prevent indigenous and non-indigenous land holders from developing agriculture projects.

B. We will not be able to grow hay to drought proof our station, also be able to clear for our more cattle.

2. Re-introducing Reverse Onus-of-Proof

Background

The inclusion of Reverse Onus of Proof in Queensland Government's Vegetation Management Framework is a direct affront to the rights and liberties of farmers. Reverse Onus relegates farmers clearing vegetation to a level below that of criminals, where they are denied common justice under Section 24 of the Criminal Code: Mistake of fact. In Queensland farmers will be presumed guilty until they are proven innocent. They are also refused the defence in making a mistake, despite known faults with the mapping layers.

*c. It's taking away my rights. and is unfair on me and my people.

3. Including High Value Regrowth as an additional layer of regulation under the Vegetation Management Framework on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land

Background

The re-inclusion of High Value Regrowth (HVR) as an additional layer of regulation on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land is an overt grab by Queensland Government in search of targets for meeting international treaties such as the Kyoto Protocol and more recently the 2015 Paris Climate Deal.

*E. & There no idea what mapping layor I have.

There had no help from the openment on mapping my block.

4. Increasing Category R vegetation to include the Burdekin, Mackay, Whitsunday and Wet Tropics Great Barrier Reef catchments and additional catchments Burnett Mary,
Eastern Cape York and Fitzroy. Background
This increase in Category R provisions is a further restriction on development in Northern Queensland, which is in stark contrast to the development imperatives contained with the White Paper on Developing Northern Australia.
The science is completely unproven on the necessity to include ≥50 metre buffers along streamlines. In fact, a study conducted in Queensland and published in 2016 shows that grass is
a far better assimilator for nitrogen to prevent leaching into waterways. The current bleaching
a far better assimilator for nitrogen to prevent leaching into waterways. The current bleaching of the Great Barrier Reef is not caused by high nutrient runoff from agricultural lands. *F. **F. ** *F. **
- To develop the natural on my block (og adding print or vary paddales will further make my station sylabanable for the next generation.
Legislation Amendment Bill 2016 that the review committee should consider appropriate and worth some consideration
*G. *I want my son to take over my station and these laws will not allow him to develop the land.
Signed:
Address:
Date: 18/4/2016