	SU	BMISSION TO	:	Submission No. 22
Vegetation	n Management (F	Reinstatement ndment Bill 20		her Legislation
		SION COVER SI		RECEIVED
Closing date for		1	26 APR 2016	
-	your submission to:		AEC	
<b>Email:</b> vminqulry@parliament.qld.gov.au		Post:	Fax: 07 355	
		Research Directo Agriculture and E Committee Parliament House BRISBANE QLD	nvironment	
Organisation or Individual:	MALAK	JISAR G	RALI	NG
Principal contact:	MALCO	LM DYEN	2	
Position:	OWNER	PARTA	ER	
Telephone:				
Mobile:				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Email eddress:				5(b):b/maxim/maxim/max/4();g;g
Street address:				
iuburb/City:	ALPHA	State: QLD	Postcode	4724
ostal address:			5 i di i uller an ibn qobe qi qi gg g (g) 1 (di i	114.070
uburb/City:	RLPHA	State: QL()	Postcode:	4724
s all or part of your ubmission confidential?	Yes, all 🗌 Yes, p	part 🗌 No 💆	K 🛛 (co	py box to indicate answe
f part, please identify				
hich sections are onfidantial:		8461816181818181818181818181818181818181	1912	18 (d (b) ( ) a, d ar an
•	81111111111111111111111111111111111111	٩;P(\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$;\$	191813141913181818181616161614141=1=1=	
eason for confidentiality:	8 / 4 / 8 / 4 / 8 / 4 / 8 / 8 / 8 / 8 /	818181818181814.dla14.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e.e	6161818181818	1918 181 181 181 181 181 181 181 181 181
	214 (5) 218 (5) 5) 5) 5) 5) 5) 5) 5) 5) 5) 5) 5) 5) 5	#1814/8/6 m/= mm=m=1=12141238121818161814181418141814181		الان و زور و به رو به رو به رو و و زور و زور و زور و زور و زور و به رو به رو به و زور و زور و زور و زور و زور و رو رو ر
	3 (3/3/5/6) (			161d 1610 1610 1610 1610 1610 1610 1610

.

r

## The environment and associated agriculture cannot be managed by continuous swinging political regulation

My name is Malcolm Dyer. I am a third generation beef cattle producer in the upper reaches of the Belyando River (Burdekin catchment) at least 600 kilometres from the coast via these rivers.

These proposed changes to vegetation management regulations will make it impossible to plan and budget any kind of land development programme to assist in drought proofing and overall financial viability. The Indecision creates hesitancy in bank lending.

These 3 to 4 year political cycles and associated legislations undermines progress and confidence within the agriculture industry, devaluing property and creating red/green tape and uncertainty. Any benefit in having in place a PMAV, HVA permit, grazing BMP, or freehold tenure can be deemed worthless by the stroke of a left wing pen!

The increase In category 'R' vegetation and the inclusion of high value regrowth further erodes development and inhibits sustainability. Re-introducing reverse onus of proof is a direct insult to farmers and graziers.

The inequity in the proposed legislation is blatant.

- Why are areas of less than 2000 ha exempt?! Surely some of the worst land managers would be hobby farmers on their small blocks along the coastal hinterland.
- Why are urban areas along the coast exempt from monitoring and regulation?

My family have been custodians of the bulk of this land since 1909. My recollections can go back almost 50 years and I can assure you that all the land hereabouts is in better condition now than it was 20/30/40 years ago. The thick grass cover and minimal erosion limit soil and nutrient run-off, because it is grass that stops erosion, not trees.

I urge the committee to reconsider the re-instatement of this stifling, useless and costly legislation.

