grant a lease to the Commonwealth of Australia. Trust land such as cemeteries and reserves is important to local communities and, to minimise disruption in the management of this land when a trustee resigns, it is proposed to regulate a transition process to enable a suitable replacement person to be appointed.

Amendments are also proposed to clarify provisions concerning rolling term leases. Specifically, the provisions clarify that a rolling term lease cannot be extended more than once in its current term and also confirms that the term of a rolling lease cannot be extended for longer than the original term of the lease. Provisions will also be expanded for a rolling term lease to include leases below the high watermark that are tied by a covenant to an adjoining major tourism venture on a regulated island.

The bill also seeks to remedy the situation where a public utility easement, say for power lines, is extinguished when a lease on a reserve expires. The bill also extends the ability to register a restricted use or preservation covenant over a range of state land tenures including unallocated state land, reserves and an occupation licence. At present these covenants can be registered over leases and road titles.

Approvals to sublease, place an easement or enter into a covenant include mandatory standard terms. These terms cover liability, indemnity and insurance requirements. Issues such as duty of care, environment protection, declared pests and subleasing are also covered by these mandatory terms. Mandatory standard documents are lodged in the Titles Registry and can be obtained on the payment of the regulated fee, whereas approvals for leases, licences or permits are accompanied by mandatory conditions which are in a regulation. It is proposed to enable mandatory standard terms to be specified in a regulation which will be easier to obtain and consistent with other Land Act dealings. These amendments are minor but will add value in the administration of land. I commend the bill to the House.

First Reading

Hon. AJ LYNHAM (Stafford—ALP) (Minister for State Development and Minister for Natural Resources and Mines) (2.54 pm): I move—

That the bill be now read a first time.

Question put That the bill be now read a first time.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a first time.

Referral to the Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources Committee

Mr DEPUTY SPEAKER (Mr Elmes): Order! In accordance with standing order 131, the bill is now referred to the Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources Committee. >

CHILD PROTECTION (OFFENDER REPORTING) AND OTHER LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL

Introduction

Hon. MT RYAN (Morayfield—ALP) (Minister for Police, Fire and Emergency Services and Minister for Corrective Services) (2.55 pm): <I present a bill for an act to amend the Child Protection >(Offender Reporting) Act 2004, the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000 and the acts mentioned in schedule 1 for particular purposes, and to repeal the Child Protection (Offender Prohibition Order) Act 2008. I table the bill and the explanatory notes. I nominate the Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee to consider the bill.

Tabled paper: Child protection (Offender Reporting) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016.

Tabled paper: Child protection (Offender Reporting) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016, explanatory notes.

I am very pleased to be able to introduce the Child Protection (Offender Reporting) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2016. This bill confirms the Palaszczuk government's commitment to protecting the most vulnerable people in our society—our children—and it reinforces my commitment as Minister for Police to keep Queenslanders safe. The bill will provide that protection by strengthening the existing legislative framework that manages the activities of reportable offenders and providing police with the powers they need to prevent recidivist sexual offending against children.

The impetus for the bill grew from a statutory review of the Child Protection (Offender Prohibition Order) Act 2008 undertaken by the Crime and Corruption Commission in 2013. The recommendations from the Crime and Corruption Commission's review have been supported by the Palaszczuk government, including amalgamating the Child Protection (Offender Reporting) Act 2004 with the Child Protection (Offender Prohibition Order) Act 2008. A combined regulatory regime will remove the areas of discord identified in the Crime and Corruption Commission's review and will ensure Queensland's legislation provides a cohesive and holistic response to the management of reportable offenders.

Early intervention, disruption and prevention are some of the key themes of this bill. The capacity for police to intervene prior to offending and act quickly when there is a suspicion an offence has been committed has the dual benefit of supporting offenders who are at risk of reoffending and protecting children in our community. The bill achieves this by giving police the authority to inspect electronic devices and to require access information to those devices. These new powers align with the recommendations made by the Crime and Corruption Commission and its narrative, which recognises that police have limited powers to manage the in-home behaviours of reportable offenders. The inspection provision provides police officers with the power to inspect electronic devices in the possession of those reportable offenders who have been released from government detention or sentenced to a supervision order in the preceding three months, or convicted of a prescribed offence using the internet, or assessed as posing an increased risk of reoffending.

The inspection process uses specialised software which provides police with vital information about the websites, the social media sites, the instant messaging or chat rooms a reportable offender is or has been accessing as well as the software and hardware on the device. The software used during the inspection process is also capable of identifying image files on the computer, including child exploitation material. The inspection power is in keeping with the purpose of the offending reporting legislation and is in line with contemporary policing strategies to prevent crime. This is a significant change and does require adequate protections. In this regard, only those police who are authorised by the Police Commissioner may exercise the inspection power. Other protective mechanisms include restricting the number of times police can inspect a device in the possession of a reportable offender who has been convicted of a prescribed internet offence to four times in each 12-month period. Any further inspections would require approval by a magistrate and must be based on increased risk. All inspections based on increased risk are required to be approved by a magistrate. Every inspection will be recorded in the enforcement acts register and a report detailing the number of inspections undertaken, the outcomes of those inspections and any action taken by police as a result of each inspection will need to be tabled in parliament each year.

The new power allowing police to require access information to electronic devices gives effect to recommendation 13 of the Crime and Corruption Commission review. The use of the new power is limited to circumstances where there is a reasonable suspicion that an offence has been committed under the offender reporting legislation. Again, the requirement for access information can only be made by those officers who are authorised by the Police Commissioner to manage reportable offenders in the community. An offender who fails to provide access information will commit an offence and will be liable to a penalty of up to 300 penalty units or five years imprisonment. This is consistent with other penalties under the offender reporting legislation. While there is no provision for self-incrimination for failing to comply with a requirement to give access information, police must apply to a magistrate for a post approval order after a requirement has been made. A reportable offender will not commit an offence for failing to comply with a requirement for access information where a magistrate does not make a post approval order.

The bill also provides police with additional opportunities to take fingerprints and photographs. Fingerprints will be taken to allow reportable offenders to be enrolled in any future electronic automated reporting system and photographs will be taken of anything that is required to be reported in a location other than a police station. These amendments not only ensure that the legislation keeps pace with emerging technology; they allow police to structure the operational components of their compliance management functions more effectively. Another key feature of the bill extends the concept of 'reportable offender'. There are occasions where a person escapes this classification simply because of the manner in which an indictment is presented to the court or because the person pleads to a lesser offence. To this the Palaszczuk government says no more. Anyone who intends, attempts or commits a sexual or particular other serious offence against a child will be liable to an offender reporting order where the court is satisfied that the facts and circumstances of the indicted offence contain elements of a reportable offence.

025

The bill also strengthens the obligations placed on reportable offenders in relation to travel, contact with children and reporting information. In this regard, reportable offenders will be required to report any contact with children who reside outside of Queensland where that contact is beyond the incidental contact of daily life. This includes online contact, telephone contact, written contact and physical contact. Reportable offenders will also be required to report the details of any children they travel with or intend or expect to have contact with outside of Queensland. The time frames associated with reporting travel have been reduced from seven days to 48 hours, minimising the opportunity for reportable offenders to leave Queensland undetected for short periods of time. The bill will also require reportable offenders to report the cessation of any personal particulars which are required to be reported—for example, the sale of a car or a house. This will ensure that the information held on the National Child Offender System is accurate and relevant.

An alignment of all suspension provisions under the offender reporting legislation will require reportable offenders who are subject to an order under the Dangerous Prisoners (Sexual Offenders) Act 2003 to make an initial report of their personal particulars prior to a suspension of their reporting obligations. Conversely, those reportable offenders who have a significant mental illness will have the opportunity to seek a suspension of their reporting obligations similar to offenders with significant cognitive disorders or physical impairments. Changes to reporting obligations will require reportable offenders who are also subject to an offender prohibition order to continue to report to police until all processes which make the person a reportable offender have ended. This may result in some reportable offenders reporting for a longer period. The length of time a reportable offender may be required to continue to report will vary depending on their offending behaviour.

The bill also addresses a number of legislative impediments hindering the effectiveness of the offender reporting legislation. For example, the term 'recent' as it applies to concerning conduct will no longer be a predeterminer of an offender prohibition order. Rather, the court will be required to consider the timing of the conduct when deciding whether an offender prohibition order should be made. The bill also clarifies the civil aspects of the offender prohibition order process, including the application of the Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999 and allowing civil applications to be heard concurrent with any associated criminal matter. Additional protections have been included in the bill for those respondents who consent to the making of an offender prohibition order. In this regard, a court will be required to conduct a hearing for an offender prohibition order where the court is satisfied that it is in the interests of justice to do so. Where a hearing is not required, the court will have the opportunity to consider additional information about a respondent—for example, whether the respondent has a mental illness or a drug or alcohol addiction. Evidentiary provisions applying to child witnesses have been extended in the bill to prohibit a self-represented respondent or a reportable offender personally cross-examining a child witness. The amendment recognises the additional trauma that may be caused when a child witness is cross-examined by a person who may be their perpetrator.

Information sharing has also been extended in the bill to allow the Police Commissioner to require information from, and give information to, government and non-government entities and members of the public. The bill recognises that offender information is confidential information and places limitations on the type of information the Police Commissioner can give. It also extends the penalty provisions where a person releases information about a respondent in an offender prohibition order process or a child witness for the purposes of harassing or intimidating the respondent. However, a person will be protected from liability where information about a reportable offender is given honestly to the Police Commissioner. The bill also allows a person acting on behalf of a reportable offender to receive, review and appeal information held on the National Child Offender System.

Finally, the bill makes a number of minor amendments which reduce the administrative impost on the Queensland Police Service. These amendments include removing the requirement for the name and signature of a person taking a report from an offender and the length of the reporting period to be included on each receipt issued after a report has been made; allowing a notice advising a reportable offender of any change to the length of their reporting period to be given as soon as reasonably practicable after the change has occurred; and allowing the period of an assumed identity for an authorised civilian to mirror that of a police officer in the same circumstances.

These laws are tough new laws, but I make no apologies for the tough stance that the Palaszczuk government has taken and will continue to take to keep our kids safe and protect our children. Sexual abuse and trauma is estimated to cost the Australian community \$6.8 billion annually, but the cost to individual victims is far greater. The negative mental health impacts associated with child sexual abuse are immeasurable—post-traumatic systems, depression, suicidal thoughts, substance abuse, eating disorders as well as psychotic and personality disorders. This bill recognises that it is the right of all

026

children to feel safe and to be safe from sexual offending. This bill establishes a tough, strong and robust framework that will help keep Queensland children safe. I commend the bill to the House.

First Reading

Hon. MT RYAN (Morayfield—ALP) (Minister for Police, Fire and Emergency Services and Minister for Corrective Services) (3.09 pm): I move—

That the bill be now read a first time.

Question put—That the bill be now read a first time.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a first time.

Referral to the Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee

Mr DEPUTY SPEAKER (Mr Elmes): Order! In accordance with standing order 131, the bill is now referred to the Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee. >

SERIOUS AND ORGANISED CRIME LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL

Second Reading

Resumed from 10 November (see p. 4535), on motion of Ms D'Ath

That the bill be now read a second time.

Ms SIMPSON (Maroochydore LNP) (3.10 pm): ≺After about 18 months in government, this >Labor Party has been true to its fluff-around with crime approach by finally bringing in legislation in respect to organised crime that waters down the tough legislation that the LNP put in place. Labor's main policy direction has been anything that is contrary to that of the previous government. Labor just wants to rip it up. That sent a very clear message to organised crime in this state that the welcome mat was out. After this government was elected, we saw criminal biking gangs out in droves in contravention of the existing law, testing the waters to see if the government would crack down, to see if there would be a response. This government's message was, no, they were watering down the legislation. After 18 months, this soft on crime government has put before the parliament legislation that waters down the law that the LNP brought in to tackle a very serious issue.

In Queensland, Labor was in denial over what was happening with outlaw bikie gangs. Thanks to the Labor Party's attitude, on the Gold Coast there was terror on the streets in a way that we had never seen before in this country. The Labor Party had allowed organised crime, particularly criminal bikie gangs, to believe that they owned not only the Gold Coast but also the system and that they could do what they liked. Over many years of a Labor government, we saw people being shot at. We saw people being intimidated from coming forward with examples of extertion that had been brought against them by these criminal gangs.

A government member: Rubbish!

Ms SIMPSON: It is not rubbish. People were shot. The member should be ashamed of himself. What occurred in Queensland was an outrageous example of a soft-on-crime Labor Party that, over many years in government, allowed these thugs to get away. We in the LNP said, 'That's not good enough.' Queenslanders deserve to be safe on their streets. Queenslanders should not live like they are in some Middle Eastern horror story—a war unfolding in their local community—in this, our Australia, in this, our Queensland but, thanks to this inept, seriously soft-on-crime Labor Party, they were.

After 18 months, the Labor government has brought forward legislation that rips up the LNP's approach, which, clearly, had been successful. The figures show clearly that there has been a reduction in crime. They prove that the 2013 VLAD laws were working. We have already seen a significant decrease in crime throughout Queensland, particularly on the Gold Coast. The statistics speak for themselves. In 2014, across Queensland, we saw a reduction in the number of assaults by 3.7 per cent. Robberies were down by 24.8 per cent. Unlawful entries were reduced by 17.4 per cent. Car theft was down by 19.4 per cent.

Mr Walker: These are very stark figures.